arXiv:1701.08662v1 [math.LO] 30 Jan 2017

Discontinuous Homomorphisms of $C(X)$ with $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_2$

Bob A. Dumas
Department of Philosophy
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195

January 27, 2017

Abstract

Assume that M is a c.t.m. of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 2)$-morass, $P \in M$ is the poset adding $\aleph_3$ generic reals and G is P-generic over M. In M we construct a function between sets of terms in the forcing language, that interpreted in $M[G]$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear order-preserving monomorphism from the finite elements of an ultrapower of the reals, over a non-principal ultrafilter on ω, into the Esterle algebra of formal power series. Therefore it is consistent that $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_3$ and, for any infinite compact Hausdorff space X, there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous real-valued functions on X. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, If M contains a simplified (ω_1, n)-morass, then in the Cohen extension of M adding $\aleph_n$ generic reals there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$, for any infinite compact Hausdorff space X.

1 Introduction

This paper addresses a problem in the theory of Banach Algebras concerning the existence of discontinuous homomorphisms of $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous real-valued functions with domain X, where X is an infinite compact

Hausdorff space. In [11], B. Johnson proved that there is a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ provided that there is a nontrivial submultiplicative norm on the finite elements of an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over ω. In [6], J. Esterle constructs an algebra of formal power series, $\mathcal{E}$, and shows in [7] that the infinitesimal elements of $\mathcal{E}$ admit a nontrivial submultiplicative norm. By results of Esterle in [8], it is known that $\mathcal{E}$ is an η_1-ordering of cardinality $2^{\aleph_0}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}$ is a totally ordered field by a result of Hahn in 1907 [9], and is real-closed by a result of Maclane [12].

It is a theorem of P. Erdös, L. Gillman and M. Henriksen in [5] that any pair of η_1-ordered real-closed fields of cardinality $\aleph_1$ are isomorphic as ordered fields. In fact, it is shown using a back-and-forth argument that any order-preserving field isomorphism between countable subsets of η_1-ordered real-closed fields may be extended to an order-isomorphism. It is a standard result of model theory that for any non-principal ultrafilter U on ω, $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ is an $\aleph_1$-saturated real-closed field (and hence an η_1-ordering). By a result of Johnson [11], between any pair of η_1-ordered real-closed fields of cardinality $\aleph_1$ there is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear order-preserving field isomorphism (hereafter $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism). This implies, in a model of the continuum hypothesis (CH), that there is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear order-preserving monomorphism (hereafter $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism) from the finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ to $\mathcal{E}$, and hence in models of ZFC+CH there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$. The proof that in a model of ZFC+CH there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ is due independently to Dales [1] and Esterle [7].

Shortly thereafter R. Solovay found a model of ZFC+$\neg$CH in which all homomorphisms of $C(X)$ are continuous. Later, in his Ph.D. thesis, W.H. Woodin constructed a model of ZFC+Martin's Axiom in which all homomorphisms of $C(X)$ are continuous. This naturally gave rise to the question of whether there is a model of set theory in which CH fails and there is a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$. Woodin subsequently showed that in the Cohen extension of a model of ZFC+CH by generic reals indexed by ω_2,

there is a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ [16]. Woodin shows that in this model the gaps in $\mathcal{E}$ that must be witnessed in a classical back-and-forth construction are always countable. He observes that this construction may not be extended to a Cohen extension by more than $\aleph_2$ generic reals. He suggests the plausibility of using morasses to construct an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of an ultrapower of the reals to the Esterle algebra in generic extensions with more than $\aleph_2$ generic reals. Woodin's argument does not extend to higher powers of the continuum and leaves open the question of whether there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ in models of set theory in which $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_2$. In this paper we show that the existence of a simplified $(\omega_1, 2)$-morass in a model of $ZFC + CH$ is sufficient for the existence of a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ in a model in which $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_3$. Furthermore, the existence of a simplified (ω_1, n)-morass in a model of $ZFC + CH$ implies the existence of a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ in the Cohen extension of the model adding $\aleph_n$ generic reals.

We show that in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals to a model of $ZFC+CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass, there is a level, morass-commutative term function that interpreted in the Cohen extension is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism of the finite elements of an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over ω into the Esterle Algebra. This is achieved with a transfinite construction of length ω_1, utilizing the morass functions from the gap-one morass to complete the construction of size $\aleph_2$ by commutativity with morass maps. In the primary result of this paper, we construct a term function with a transfinite argument of length ω_1 and utilize morass-commutativity with the embeddings of a gap-2 morass to complete the construction of an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of a standard ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over ω to the Esterle Algebra in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_3$ generic reals. The construction along the gap-1 morass is necessary for the construction using an $(\omega_1, 2)$-morass, by virtue of the inductive character of higher gap morasses. We state the generalization

to gap-n morasses without detailed proof, since the argument is essentially identical to the gap-2 case.

The technical obstacles to such a construction may be reduced to conditions we call morass-extendability. This paper is strongly dependent on the results of [3] and [4], in which term functions are constructed that are forced to be order-preserving functions. The arguments here are very similar, with the additional requirement that the functions are also field homomorphisms. We borrow freely from the definitions, terminology and conventions of that paper. Some of these will be briefly reviewed in the next section.

2 Preliminaries

In our initial construction we use a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass. In particular we wish to construct a function on terms in the forcing language for adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals that is forced in all generic extensions to be an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$, where U is a standard non-principal ultrafilter (see Definition 6.14 [3]) in the generic extension, into the Esterle Algebra, $\mathcal{E}$. In some sense we follow the classical route to such constructions, using a back-and-forth argument of length ω_1. We will require commutativity with morass maps to construct a function on a domain of cardinality $\aleph_2$ making only $\aleph_1$ many explicit commitments. However with each commitment of the construction, there are uncountably many future commitments implied by commutativity with morass maps.

In [14] D. Velleman defines a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass.

Definition 2.1 (*Simplified* $(\omega_1, 1)$*-morass*) *A simplified* $(\omega, 1)$*-morass is a structure*

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle (\theta_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \omega_1), (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1) \rangle$$

that satisfies the following conditions:
(P0) (a) $\theta_0 = 1$, $\theta_{\omega_1} = \omega_2$, $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1)\ 0 < \theta_\alpha < \omega_1$.
(b) $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ *is a set of order-preserving functions* $f : \theta_\alpha \to \theta_\beta$.

(P1) $|\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \omega$ *for all* $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.
(P2) If $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, *then* $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\gamma} = \{f \circ g \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma},\ g \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}\}$.
(P3) If $\alpha < \omega_1$, *then* $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha(\alpha+1)} = \{\mathrm{id} \restriction \theta_\alpha, f_\alpha\}$ *where* f_α *satisfies:*

$$(\exists \delta_\alpha < \theta_\alpha)\ f_\alpha \restriction \delta_\alpha = \mathrm{id} \restriction \delta_\alpha \ \text{ and } \ f_\alpha(\delta_\alpha) \geq \theta_\alpha.$$

(P4) If $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ *is a limit ordinal,* $\beta_1, \beta_2 < \alpha$, $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_1\alpha}$ *and* $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_2\alpha}$, *then there is* $\gamma < \alpha$, $\gamma > \beta_1, \beta_2$, *and there is* $f_1' \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_1\gamma}$, $f_2' \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_2\gamma}$, $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\gamma\alpha}$ *such that* $f_1 = g \circ f_1'$ *and* $f_2 = g \circ f_2'$.
(P5) For all $\alpha > 0$, $\theta_\alpha = \bigcup\{f[\theta_\beta] \mid \beta < \alpha,\ f \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha}\}$.

Simplified gap-1 morasses (as well as higher gap simplified morasses) are known to exist in L.

We will construct, by an inductive argument of length ω_1, a function between sets of terms in the forcing language adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals. We interpret the morass functions on ordinals as functions between terms in in the forcing language and require that the set of terms under construction satisfy certain commutativity constraints with the morass functions. It is implicit that any commitment to an ordered pair of terms in the construction is *de facto* a commitment to uncountably many commitments to ordered pairs in mutually generic extensions. In [3] we worked explicitly with terms in the forcing language. We wish to simplify the details of the construction by working with objects in a forcing extension. We use the notions of term complexity and strict level, from [3], and apply it to objects in a forcing extension. A discerning term, τ, in the forcing language adding generic reals indexed by β has strict level $\alpha \leq \beta$ provided that there is a term in the forcing language adding generic reals indexed by α that is forced equal to τ in all generic extensions, and there is no $\gamma < \alpha$ for which this is true. Not all terms have strict levels.

Suppose $\alpha < \beta$ and $P(\alpha)$ (resp. $P(\beta)$) is the poset adding generic reals indexed by α (resp. β). We consider $P(\beta)$ as the product forcing $P(\alpha) \times P^*(\alpha)$. If $G(\alpha)$ is $P(\alpha)$-generic over M and $G^*(\alpha)$ is $P^*(\alpha)$-generic over

$M[G(\alpha)]$, then we say an object, $t \in M[G(\alpha)][G^*(\alpha)]$ has strict level α if $t \in M[G(\alpha)]$, and t is not in the corresponding generic extension adding generic reals indexed by an ordinal less than α. So an object has strict level α just in case there is a term for t in the forcing language with strict level α, and if τ is a term of strict level α, then in any generic extension the value of τ has strict level α. Consequently in our construction we pass freely between objects of strict level α in a generic extension and term of strict level α in the forcing language.

Many of the constraints required for commutativity with morass maps are expressed in terms of the strict level of objects in a forcing extension (or correspondingly, terms in the forcing language). For instance, in [3] we define a term function to be level if the strict level of any term in the domain equals the strict term of its image under the function. Such maps will commute with morass maps in the manner required by our construction.

3 Constructing an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism on a real-closed field

We wish to construct a set of terms in the forcing language (for adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals) that is forced in all generic extensions to be an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism on the finite elements of an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$. It is a result of B. Johnson [11] that η_1-ordered real-closed fields with cardinality $\aleph_1$ are $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphic in models of ZFC+CH. This result strengthens the classical result that $\aleph_1$-saturated real closed fields of cardinality $\aleph_1$ are isomorphic. It is conceivable that in a naive back-and-forth construction of an order-isomorphism between η_1-ordered real-closed fields that a choice is made for an image (or pre-image) of the function under construction that precludes satisfaction of $\mathbb{R}$-linearity.

Definition 3.1 (*Full real-closed field*) *A real-closed field D is full iff for every finite element, $r + \delta$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and δ is infinitesimal, $r \in D$.*

We will need to extend two results due to B. Johnson [11] to meet the requirement of $\mathbb{R}$-linearity in the context of constructing term functions using a morass.

Lemma 3.2 (*B.Johnson*) *Assume that D and I are full real-closed subfields of η_1-ordered real-closed fields D^* and I^* (resp.), $\phi : D \to I$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, and $r \in R$. Then there is an extension of ϕ, ϕ^*, that is an R-monomorphism of the real closure of the field generated by D and r, $F(D, r)$, onto the real closure of the field generated by I and r, $F(I, r)$. Furthermore $F(D, r)$ (and consequently, $F(I, r)$) is full.*

Lemma 3.3 (*B.Johnson*) *Let D, D^*, I, I^* and ϕ be as in Lemma 3.2, $x \in D^*$ and assume that the real closure of the field generated by D and d, $F(D, d)$, is full. Let $y \in I^*$ be such that*

$$(\forall d \in D)(d < x \iff \phi(d) < y).$$

Then there is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending ϕ, $\phi^* : F(D, r) \to I^*$, such that $\phi^*(x) = y$.

Definition 3.4 (*Archimedean valuation*) *If x and y are non-zero elements of a real-closed field, they have the same Archimedean valuation, $x \sim y$, provided that there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\mid x \mid < n \mid y \mid$$

and

$$\mid y \mid < m \mid x \mid .$$

If $\mid x \mid < \mid y \mid$ and $x \nsim y$, then x has Archimedean valuation greater than y, $x \succeq y$.

Archimedean valuation is an equivalence relation on the non-zero elements of a real-closed field (RCF). The non-zero real numbers have the same valuation.

Elements with the same valuation as a real number are said to have real valuation. In a nonstandard real-closed field, elements with valuation greater than a real valuation are infinitesimal. The finite elements of a real closed field are the infinitesimal elements and those with real valuation.

4 The Esterle algebra

We define the Esterle algebra [7] and review some basic properties.

Definition 4.1 (S_{ω_1}) *S_{ω_1} is the lexicographic linear-ordering with domain $\{s : \omega_1 \to 2 \mid s$ has countable support and the support of s has a largest element $\}$.*

Definition 4.2 (G_{ω_1}) *G_{ω_1} is the ordered group with domain $\{g : S_{\omega_1} \to \mathbb{R} \mid g$ has countable well-ordered support$\}$, lexicographic ordering, and group operation pointwise addition.*

We define an ordered algebra of formal power series, $\mathcal{E}$. The universe of $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of formal power series, $\sum_{\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda}$, where:

1. $\gamma < \omega_1$.
2. $(\forall \lambda < \gamma)\, \alpha_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. $\{a_\lambda \mid \lambda < \gamma\}$ is a countable well-ordered subset of G_{ω_1} and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \gamma \Rightarrow a_{\lambda_1} < a_{\lambda_2}$.

The ordered algebra, $\mathcal{E}$, is isomorphic to the set of functions, with countable well-ordered support, from G_{ω_1} to $\mathbb{R}$. The lexicographic order linearly-orders $\mathcal{E}$. Addition is pointwise and multiplication is defined as follows: Suppose $a = \sum_{\lambda<\gamma_1} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda}$ and $b = \sum_{\kappa<\lambda_2} \beta_\kappa x^{b_\kappa}$ are members of $\mathcal{E}$. Let

$$C = \{c \mid (\exists \lambda < \gamma_1)(\exists \kappa < \gamma_2)\ c = a_\lambda + b_\kappa\}.$$

Then

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{c \in C} ((\sum_{a_\lambda + b_\kappa = c} \alpha_\lambda \cdot \beta_\kappa)\, x^c).$$

Definition 4.3 (*Esterle algebra, $\mathcal{E}$*) *The Esterle algebra, $\mathcal{E}$, is $\{f : G_{\omega_1} \to \mathbb{R} \mid f$ has countable well-ordered support$\}$. $\mathcal{E}$ is lexicographically ordered, with pointwise addition, and multiplication defined above.*

$\mathbb{R}$ may be embedded in $\mathcal{E}$ by $\alpha \longmapsto \alpha x^e$, where e is the group identity in G_{ω_1}. Exponents in G_{ω_1} larger than e (called positive exponents) correspond to infinitesimal Archimedean valuations, and those smaller than e (called negative exponents) correspond to infinite valuations. The finite elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are those with leading exponent $\geq e$.

Theorem 4.4 *(J. Esterle [7]) $\mathcal{E}$ is an η_1-orderded real-closed field.*

A norm, $\| \|$, on an algebra A is submultiplicative if for any $a, b \in A$,

$$\|a \cdot b\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|.$$

Theorem 4.5 *(G. Dales [1], J. Esterle [8]) The set of finite elements of $\mathcal{E}$ bears a submultiplicative norm.*

It is a standard result of model theory that if U is a non-principal ultrafilter on ω, the ultrapower $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ is an $\aleph_1$-saturated real-closed field. Any two $\aleph_1$-saturated, or η_1-ordered, real-closed fields with cardinality of the continuum are isomorphic in models of ZFC+CH. Hence CH implies that there is a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$.

Theorem 4.6 *(B.Johnson [11]) (CH) If U is a non-principal ultrafilter, there is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ into $\mathcal{E}$.*

We turn our attention to terms in a forcing language M^P that are forced to be members of the Esterle algebra.

Notation 4.7 (*P(A)*) *If A is a set of ordinals, we let $P(A)$ be the poset adding generic reals indexed by the ordinals of A. That is,*

$$P(A) := Fn(A \times \omega, 2),$$

the finite partial functions from $A \times \omega$ to 2.

In [3] and [4], we found sufficient conditions for morass constructions. The aggregate of these conditions were characterized as morass-definability and gap-2 morass-definability. The central theorem of the papers were that morass-definable η_1-orderings are order-isomorphic in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals of a model of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass; and gap-2 morass definable η_1-orderings are order-isomorphic in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_3$ generic reals of a model of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 2)$-morass.

We will prove that morass-definable η_1-ordered real-closed fields are isomorphic by an $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals of a model of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass. We repeat the definitions of morass-commutativity and morass-definability.

Definition 4.8 (*Morass-Commutative*) *Suppose $\langle(\theta_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \omega_1), (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)\rangle$ is a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass, $\lambda \leq \omega_1$ and $X \subseteq M^{P(\omega_1)}$. We say that X is morass-commutative beneath λ provided that for any $\zeta < \xi \leq \lambda$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\zeta\xi}$, $x \in X \cap M^{P(\theta_\zeta)}$ iff $g(x) \in X$. We say that X is morass-commutative if X is morass-commutative beneath ω_1*

Definition 4.9 (*Morass-definable*) *Let $\langle X, <\rangle \in M[G]$ be a linear ordering. X is morass definable if there is a set of terms $T \subseteq M^P$*

1. *T is a morass-commutative set of discerning terms of strict level, and $val_G(T) = \langle X, <\rangle$.*

2. *T is level dense and upward level dense.*

3. *Every term of T has countable support (that is, any term in T is a term in $M^{P(A)}$, for some A adding generic reals indexed by a countable subset of ω_2).*

4. *For all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $T \cap M^{P(\alpha)}$ is forced to be a sub-ordering of T, and $val_G(T \cap M^{P(\alpha)}) = M[G(\alpha)] \cap \langle X, < \rangle$.*

Lemma 4.10 *The Esterle algebra is morass-definable.*

Proof: Let $E \subseteq M^P$ be the set of discerning terms for elements in the Esterle algebra in the forcing language for the poset P. It is routine to check that $\mathcal{E}$ is morass-commutative, that every element of the Esterle algebra is the interpretation of a term with countable support and that E satisfies condition 4 of the definition.

We show that $\mathcal{E}$ is level dense. Let $E_\nu \subseteq E \cap M^{P_\nu}$ be the terms of E with level ν, $a, b \in E_\nu$, and σ a splitting map on θ_ν with splitting point δ. We assume that a and b have strict level greater than δ. Suppose that $p \in P$ and

$$p \Vdash a < \sigma(b).$$

It is sufficient to find an extension of p, q, and a term $c \in E$ of strict level δ such that

$$q \Vdash a < c < \sigma(b).$$

Alternatively we may work in $M[G]$, with $p \in G$. We consider G as the product forcing

$$G = G_0 \times G_1 \times G_2$$

where G_0 is $P(\delta)$ generic over M, G_1 is $P(\theta_\nu \setminus \delta)$ generic over $M[G_0]$, and G_2 is $P(\sigma[\theta_\nu] \setminus \theta_\nu)$ generic over $M[G_0][G_2]$. It is sufficient to consider the case in which $\delta = 0$ and $M = M[G_0]$. We consider the interpretation of a in $M[G_1]$ and the interpretation of $\sigma(b)$ in $M[G_2]$. That is, we wish to

show that members of $\mathcal{E}$ from mutually generic extensions are separated by an element of the ground model. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be countable ordinals and

$$a = \sum_{\lambda<\gamma_1} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda}$$

and

$$b = \sum_{\lambda<\gamma_2} \beta_\lambda x^{b_\lambda}.$$

If a and b are equal on a partial sum, then that partial sum is in M, so by possibly subtracting the largest common partial sum of a and b, we may assume that a and b differ on the first term of the sums, and

$$\alpha_0 x^{a_0} \neq \beta_0 x^{b_0}.$$

If $a_0 = b_0$, then $a_0 \in M$ and there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\alpha_0 < q < \beta_0.$$

Then $qx^{a_0} \in M$ and

$$a < qx^{a_0} < b.$$

Hence we assume that a and b have distinct Archimedean valuations.

We consider the case $a_0 < b_0$. Then $\alpha_0 < 0$. It is sufficient to prove that there is an element of $\mathcal{E} \cap M$ that has valuation between a_0 and b_0. Let

$$a_0 = f : S_{\omega_1} \to \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$b_0 = g : S_{\omega_1} \to \mathbb{R}.$$

If a_0 and b_0 are equal on an initial segment of their supports, then this initial segment is in the ground model. We can therefore assume that a_0 and b_0 either have distinct least members, or have the same least member of their supports, $s \in M$, and

$$f(s) < g(s).$$

In the latter case there is $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$f(s) < q < g(s).$$

Then $\{(s, q)\} \in M$ and

$$a_0 < \{(s, q)\} < b_0.$$

Hence we have left to consider the case in which s_{a_0} is the least member of the support of a_0, s_{b_0} is the least member of the support of b_0 and $s_{a_0} \neq s_{b_0}$. If either s_{a_0} or s_{b_0} are in M we can find a member of $G_{\omega_1} \cap M$ that is a valuation between a_0 and b_0. So we may assume that neither s_{a_0} nor s_{b_0} are in M. As we shall see, it is sufficient to show that between any distinct elements of S_{ω_1} from mutually generic extensions, there is a member of S_{ω_1} in the ground model. We assume without loss of generality that $s_{b_0} < s_{a_0}$ (the case $s_{a_0} < s_{b_0}$ is altogether similar). Treating s_{b_0} and s_{a_0} as countable subsets of ω_1, let μ be the least element of s_{b_0} that is not a member of s_{a_0}. Let $\Delta = s_{a_0} \cap \mu$. Then $\Delta = s_{b_0} \cap \mu \in M$. We note that $b_0(\mu) > 0$, otherwise $b_0 < a_0$, contrary to assumption. Let

$$s_{c_0} = \Delta \cup \{\mu\}.$$

Then $s_{c_0} \in M$ and

$$s_{b_0} < s_{c_0} < s_{a_0}.$$

Let $c_0 \in M$ be defined so that, for $\rho < \mu$,

$$b_0(\rho) = c_0(\rho)$$

and

$$0 < c_0(\mu) < b_0(\mu).$$

Then $c_0 \in M$ and

$$a_0 < c_0 < b_0.$$

Since $a < b$, the coefficient of $a_0 > 0$. Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $0 < q < a_0$. Then $qx^{c_0} \in M$ and

$$a < qx^{c_0} < b.$$

Therefore E is level dense.

Finally we show that E is upward level dense (see Definition 4.3 of [3]). Let α and β be countable ordinals and $\alpha < \beta$. Suppose $x, y, z \in M^P$, with $x < z < y$, and z has strict level α. It is sufficient to show that there is an element $w \in \mathcal{E}$ of strict level β such that

$$x < w < y.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $z \in M$. If x and y have an identical partial sum, then z must have that partial sum, and it is therefore in M. We may subtract the partial sum from all three formal power series and pass to x and y that disagree on the first term of the formal power series. If x and y have the same Archimidean valuation, a, then the first term of z has valuation a. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be of strict level β and lie between the initial coefficients of x and y. Let $w = \alpha x^a$. Then w has strict level β and

$$x < w < y.$$

So we assume that x and y have distinct valuation. If x and z have the same valuation, a, and the initial coefficient of x is negative, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be negative and greater than the the initial coefficient of x and have strict level β. If the initial coefficient of x is positive, let α be positive and greater then the initial coefficient of x and have strict level β. In either case, let $w = \alpha x^a$. Then w has strict level β and

$$x < w < y.$$

The cases for x and y having the same valuation are similar.

If x and y have distinct valuation, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be positive and have strict level β. Let $w = \alpha \cdot z$. Then w has strict level β and

$$x < w < y.$$

Therefore E is upward level dense. □

In the previous argument we passed from terms in the forcing language of strict level, to elements of strict level in an arbitrary generic extension. If $\alpha < \omega_1$, $x \in M[G(\alpha)]$ and $x \notin M[G(\beta)]$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, then there is a term of strict level α, τ, where x is the interpretation of τ in $M[G]$. Conversely, by definition, any term of strict level will have strict level in any generic extension. As mentioned previously, this allows us to pass freely between terms of strict level α in the forcing language and elements of strict level α in a generic extension. Hence, in order to prove the existence of a level term function with particular properties, it is sufficient to construct a level-preserving function in the generic extension.

5 Extendable functions

In order to extend an order-monomorphism by commutativity with a splitting map we must simultaneously satisfy algebraic and order constraints. In the next section we show that the morass-commutative extension of an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, satisfying certain technical constraints (extendability), may be extended to an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism satisfying those same constraints. The technical constraints are those required for an inductive construction along the vertices of a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass. In anticipation of these we define a notion somewhat stronger than algebraic independence, algebraic stratification.

Definition 5.1 (*Algebraic Stratification*) *Assume P adds generic reals indexed by an ordinal θ, G is P-generic over M, F is a morass-definable real closed field, and $X \subseteq F$. We say that X is algebraically stratified (AS) if for any $\alpha \leq \theta$, $P(\alpha)$-generic subset $G(\alpha)$ (over M) and $G^*(\alpha)$ that is $P(\theta \setminus \alpha)$-generic over $M[G(\alpha)]$, where $M[G] = M[G(\alpha)][G^*(\alpha)]$, if $Y \subset X$ is algebraically independent over $X \cap M[G(\alpha)]$, then Y is algebraically independent over $F \cap M[G(\alpha)]$.*

Algebraic stratification of a subset, X, of a real-closed field, F, guarantees that algebraic dependence of subsets of X over lower level members of F is witnessed in X itself. It will be seen that the satisfaction of this condition guarantees that splitting maps preserve critical algebraic structure. We also mention that the definition extends to terms in the forcing language M^P for members of F.

We state the central technical condition that permits the inductive construction of the following sections.

Definition 5.2 (*Extendable Function*) *Assume*

1. *M is a c.t.m. of ZFC.*
2. *P is the poset adding generic reals indexed by ordinal κ.*
3. *G is P-generic over M.*
4. *F is an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over a standard non-principal ultrafilter on ω in $M[G]$.*
5. *X is a countable, algebraically stratified subring of F.*
6. *Y is a subring of the Esterle Algebra $\mathcal{E} \in M[G]$ and is closed under partial sums and coefficients.*
7. *$\phi : X \to Y$ is a level $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism.*

Then ϕ is an extendable function.

We interpret a splitting map on a set of countable ordinals as a function on terms in the forcing language as in [3]. If ν is a vertex of a morass, θ_ν is the ordinal associated with ν, $X \cap M^{P(\theta_\nu)}$ is morass-commutative beneath ν and $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu+1}$ is the splitting function on θ_ν then $X \cup \sigma[X]$ is morass-commutative beneath $\nu + 1$. We will show that an extendable function may be commutatively extended by a splitting function to an extendable function.

6 Commutative extensions of term functions

In the inductive construction of the following sections we will need technical lemmas of two types: those insuring that commutativity with morass maps may be used to extend extendable functions to extendable functions, and those allowing the extension of the domain of an extendable function by a specified element to an extendable function. Throughout this section we assume:

1. $\phi : X \to Y$ is an extendable function.
2. σ is a splitting map with splitting point $\delta = 0$. That is, $\theta < \omega_1$, $\sigma : \theta \to \omega_1$ and $\sigma[\theta] \cap \theta = \emptyset$.
3. P is the poset adding generic reals indexed by θ.
4. G is P-generic over M.
5. H is $\sigma[P]$-generic over $M[G]$.

We wish to show that the morass-commutative extension of ϕ to the ring generated by $X \cup \sigma[X]$ is extendable.

6.1 Splitting maps and algebraic independence

The central result of this subsection states, roughly, that a subset of a field in a generic extension that is algebraically independent over the restriction of the field to the ground model, will be algebraically independent (AI) over the restriction of an extension field to a mutually generic extension. It will follow that the union of an AI subset of a field in a generic extension with its morass "split" in a mutually generic extension will be AI over the restriction of the field to the ground model.

Lemma 6.1 *Let P be the poset adding generic reals indexed by ω_1 and G be P-generic over M. Let F be a morass-definable real-closed field in $M[G]$.*

Let $\theta < \omega_1$, $P(\theta)$ be the poset adding generic reals indexed by θ, $G(\theta)$ be the $P(\theta)$-generic factor of G, and σ be a splitting map on θ (with splitting point $\delta = 0$). If $\chi = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq (F \cap M[G])$ is linearly independent (LI) over $M \cap F$ and H is $\sigma[P]$-generic over $M[G]$, then χ is LI over $M[H] \cap F$.

Proof: Let

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F^n \cap M[G].$$

Suppose that there is a non-zero vector of $\mathbf{y} \in F^n \cap M[H]$ which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{x}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the components of $\mathbf{y}$ are LI over $M \cap F$. We consider terms for $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, $x \in M^P$ and $y \in M^{\sigma[P]}$ respectively. Let $p \in P$, $q \in \sigma[P]$, be such that

$$p \cdot q \Vdash x \cdot y = 0.$$

We work below $p \cdot q$ and assume that $x \cdot y = 0$ in all $P(\theta \cup \sigma[\theta])$-generic extensions. Let $\langle \sigma_i \mid i \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence of splitting functions such that

1. $\sigma_0 = \sigma$.
2. For any $i \in \omega$, σ_i has splitting point $\delta = 0$.
3. For any $i \in \omega$, the range of σ_i, θ_i, is contained in ω_1.
4. If $i \neq j$, then the range of σ_i is disjoint from the range of σ_j.

Let P_i be the poset adding generic reals indexed by θ_i and Q_1 be the poset adding generic reals indexed by $\bigcup_{i \leq n} \theta_i$. Let $q_1 \in Q_1$ be a condition which forces that the span of terms $\{\sigma_i(y) \mid i \leq n\}$ has maximal possible dimension, $m \leq n$, and let τ be a term for the row-reduced echelon form of the matrix with rows $\sigma_1(y), \ldots, \sigma_n(y)$. We consider q_1 as a condition in $\Pi_{i=1}^n P_i$, $p_1 \cdots p_n$, in which $p_i \leq q$ for all $i \leq n$. Hence $p \cdot q_1$ forces that x is in the null space of τ.

For $0 < i \leq n$, let

$$\sigma_i^* = \sigma_{n+i} \circ \sigma_i^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\sigma_i^*[\theta_i] = \theta_{i+n}.$$

Furthermore, through the definitions of [3], σ_i^* is naturally interpreted as a function from P_i to P_{i+n} and as well as a function from M^{P_i} to $M^{P_{i+n}}$. Let

$$\sigma^* : \bigcup_{i \leq n} \theta_i \to \bigcup_{i \leq n} \theta_{i+n}.$$

If Q_2 is the poset adding generic reals indexed by $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \theta_{i+n}$ then $\sigma^* : Q_1 \to Q_2$. Furthermore, since F is morass-definable, $\sigma^*(\tau)$ is forced in all Q_2-generic extensions to be a row-reduced matrix with dimension m.

Let H_1 be Q_1 generic over M, with $q_1 \in H_1$ and H_2 be Q_2-generic over $M[H_1]$, with $\sigma^*(q_1) \in H_2$. Let A_1 be the value of τ in $M[H_1]$ and A_2 be the value of $\sigma(\tau)$ in $M[H_2]$. Then A_1 and A_2 are row-reduced matrices with dimension m. Furthermore, since m is the maximum possible rank for τ in any generic extension,

$$Span(A_1) = Span(A_2).$$

The row reduced echelon form is canonical, so

$$A_1 = A_2.$$

However $M[H_1]$ and $M[H_2]$ are mutually generic extensions, $A_1 \in M[H_1]$ and $A_2 \in M[H_2]$. Therefore

$$A_1 = A_2 \in M.$$

In $M[G, H_1, H_2]$, x is in the null-space of $A_1 \in M$. This contradicts the assumption that the components of x are LI over $M \cap F$. □

Lemma 6.2 *Let F, G, H, χ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 6.1. Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F^n \cap M[G]$, $\bar{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in F^n \cap M[H]$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot y_i = 0.$$

Then $\bar{y}$ is in the span of vectors in $F^n \cap M$, all of which are orthogonal to $\bar{x}$.

Proof: Let

$$\bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

and

$$\bar{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n).$$

Similar to the previous argument, we work beneath a condition that forces $\bar{x} \perp \bar{y}$. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ be splitting functions with pairwise disjoint ranges and $\sigma_1(\bar{x}), \ldots \sigma_m(\bar{x})$ be a maximal LI set of vectors in mutually generic extensions. It is a consequence of this maximal linear independence that in any mutually generic extension of M, the interpretation of $\bar{x}$ is in the span of $\sigma_1(\bar{x}), \ldots, \sigma_m(\bar{x})$. For $i \leq n$, let

$$P_i = \sigma_i[P]$$

and

$$P^* = \Pi_{i=1}^m P_i.$$

Let A_1 be the rank m matrix with row vectors $\sigma_1(\bar{x}), \ldots, \sigma_m(\bar{x})$ as the first m rows, and the the zero vector for the remaining rows. The nullity of A_1 is $n - m$. Let B_1 be the row-reduced echelon form of the basis matrix of the null space of A_1. We note that, in a generic extension including generic reals indexed by $\sigma(P)$, $\bar{y}$ is in the null space of A_1 and hence in the span of the rows of B_1. Let $\tau_A \in M^{P^*}$ be a term for A_1, and τ_B be a term for B_1. We work beneath a condition of P^* that forces that τ_B is the row-reduced echelon form of the basis matrix of the null space of τ_A. Let G^* be P^*-generic and H^* be P^*-generic over $M[G^*][H]$. As in the previous Lemma, if A_2 [resp. B_2] is the interpretation of τ_A [resp. τ_B] in $M[H^*]$, then A_2, is a row-reduced echelon matrix of rank n and, in $M[H^*][H]$, $\bar{y}$ is in the null space of A_2. Additionally B_2 is the row-reduced echelon form of the basis matrix for the null space of A_2. Every row of A_2 is in the span of A_1, and both have dimension m, so the range of A_1 and A_2 are identical. Therefore

$$B_1 = B_2.$$

However $B_1 \in M[G^*]$ and $B_2 \in M[H^*]$, with G^* and H^* mutually generic. Hence

$$B_1 \in M.$$

Therefore $\bar{y}$ is in the span of vectors in $F^n \cap M$. □

Corollary 6.3 *Let F, G and H satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 6.1. If $\chi \in M[G] \cap F$ be algebraically independent (AI) over $M \cap F$, then χ is AI over $M[H] \cap F$.*

Proof: Let χ^* be the multiplicative semi-group generated by the elements of χ. Then χ^* is LI over $M \cap F$. By Lemma 6.1, χ^* is LI over $M[H] \cap F$. Therefore χ is AI over $M[H] \cap F$. □

Corollary 6.4 *If χ is AI over $M \cap F$, then $\chi \cup \sigma[\chi]$ is AI over $M \cap F$.*

Proof: Let G be P-generic over M, and H be $\sigma[P]$-generic over $M[G]$. F is morass-commutative so $\sigma[\chi]$ is AI over M. Suppose there is a nontrivial linear combination (over $M \cap F$) of distinct elements of the semigroup generated by $\chi \cup \sigma[\chi]$ that equals 0. By Lemma 6.4, χ is AI over $M[H] \cap F$, so there must be a nontrivial linear combination (over $M \cap F$) of elements of the semigroup generated by $\sigma[\chi]$ that equals 0. However F is morass commutative, and $\sigma[\chi]$ is AI over $M \cap F$. □

Lemma 6.5 *If $X \subset F \cap M[G]$ is AS, then $X \cup \sigma[X]$ is AS.*

Proof: Assume that X is AS. Let $X_0 = \{x_i \mid i \leq n\}$ and $Y_0 = \{\sigma(y_j) \mid j \leq m\}$, where for all i and j, $x_i, y_j \in X$. Let $\chi = X_0 \cup Y_0$. Assume that $\alpha < \sup(\theta \cup \sigma[\theta])$ and χ is AI over the elements of $X \cup \sigma[X]$ with strict level no greater than α.

If $\alpha \geq \theta$, then

$$G(\alpha) = G$$

and $H(\alpha)$ is the factor of H that adds generic reals indexed by $\alpha \cap \sigma[\theta]$. Then $X_0 = \emptyset$ and $\chi = Y_0$. Since F is morass commutative, χ is AI over $F \cap M[H(\alpha)]$. By Corollary 6.3, χ is AI over $F \cap M[G][H(\alpha)]$.

If $\alpha < \theta$, then

$$(X \cup \sigma[X]) \cap M[G(\alpha)] = X \cap M[G(\alpha)].$$

Then α must be less than the strict level of any element of X_0. Hence Y_0 is AI over $F \cap M[G]$ and X_0 is AI over $F \cap M[G(\alpha)]$. Therefore χ is AI over $F \cap M[G(\alpha)]$ and $X \cup \sigma[X]$ is AS. □

Lemma 6.6 *Let X^* be the sub-ring of F generated by $X \cup \sigma[X]$, let $\phi : X \to \mathcal{E}$ be extendable. Then there is an extendable function, $\phi^* : X^* \to Y$, extending ϕ and $\sigma(\phi)$.*

Proof: An element of X^* may be expressed as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i)$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$. Let S be the set of expressions of this form. We define a function $\psi : S \to Y$ where

$$\psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x_i) \cdot \sigma(\phi(y_i)).$$

Let $\iota : S \to X^*$ be the natural quotient map from the expressions of S to X^*. The kernel of ι is the set of expressions of S that sum to 0 in X^*. ψ defines a ring homomorphism on X^* if and only if for any s in the kernel of ι,

$$\psi(s) = 0.$$

For $i \leq n$ let $z_i = \sigma(y_i)$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot z_i$ be in the kernel of ι. Then in X^*,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot z_i = 0.$$

Let

$$\bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F^n \cap M[G],$$

$$\bar{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in F^n \cap M[G]$$

and

$$\bar{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in F^n \cap M[H].$$

By Lemma 6.2, $\bar{z}$ is in the span of elements of $F^n \cap M$ that are orthogonal to $\bar{x}$. Let $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ be an LI set of vectors of $F^n \cap M$ orthogonal to $\bar{x}$ that contains $\bar{z}$ in its span. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the dot product and $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in F \cap M[H]$ be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \cdot b_i = \bar{z}.$$

Let $\bar{\phi} : X^n \to \mathcal{E}^n$ be defined by

$$\bar{\phi}(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = (\phi(s_1), \ldots, \phi(s_n)).$$

Recall that for a splitting map σ, $\sigma(\phi) : \sigma[X] \to \mathcal{E}$ is defined so that σ and ϕ commute. Then

$$\psi(\langle \bar{x}, \bar{z} \rangle) = \langle \bar{\phi}(\bar{x}), \sigma(\bar{\phi})(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha \cdot b_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma(\alpha_i) \cdot \langle \bar{\phi}(\bar{x}), \sigma(\bar{\phi})(b_i) \rangle.$$

However $b_i \in F^n \cap M$ for all $i \leq n$, so

$$\sigma(\bar{\phi})(b_i) = \bar{\phi}(b_i).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma(\alpha_i) \cdot \langle \bar{\phi}(\bar{x}), \sigma(\bar{\phi})(b_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma(\alpha_i) \cdot \langle \bar{\phi}(\bar{x}), \bar{\phi}(b_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma(\alpha_i) \cdot \phi(\langle \bar{x}, b_i \rangle).$$

However, for all $i \leq m$, $b_i \perp \bar{x}$. So for all $i \leq m$,

$$\phi(\langle \bar{x}, b_i \rangle) = 0$$

and $\psi(\langle \bar{x}, \bar{z} \rangle) = 0$. Therefore ψ defines a ring homomorphism on X^*, ϕ^*, that extends $\phi \cup \sigma(\phi)$ to X^*.

Assume that $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M[G] \cap F^n$, $\bar{z} = \sigma(\bar{y})$ and

$$\langle \bar{x}, \bar{z} \rangle \neq 0$$

then there are

$$x'_1, \ldots, x'_m \in X$$

and

$$z'_1, \ldots z'_m \in \sigma[X]$$

such that $\{x'_1, \ldots, x'_m\}$ is LI over $M \cap X$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x'_i \cdot z'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot z_i \neq 0.$$

Then $\{\phi(x'_1), \ldots, \phi(x'_m)\}$ is LI over $\phi[X] \cap M$. By Lemma 6.1, $\{\phi(x'_1), \ldots, \phi(x'_m)\}$ is LI over $\mathcal{E} \cap M[H]$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi^*(x_i \cdot z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi^*(x'_i \cdot z'_i) \neq 0.$$

Thus ϕ^* is a monomorphism.

Let $r \in \mathbb{R} \cap X^*$. Then r is an element of the ring generated by the reals occuring as coefficients in the power series expansions of $\phi[X] \cup \sigma[\phi[X]]$. By assumption, the reals occuring as coefficients in power series expansions of $\phi[X] \cup \sigma[\phi[X]]$ are in the range of $\phi \cup \sigma(\phi)$, and ϕ and $\sigma(\phi)$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linear, it follows that

$$\phi^*(r) = r$$

and ϕ^* is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

We show that ϕ^* is order-preserving. That is, for $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i) > 0$ iff $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x_i) \cdot \sigma(\phi(y_i)) > 0$.

If $n = 1$, then the sign of $x_1 \cdot \sigma(y_1)$ is the sign of the product of the leading coefficients of x_1 and $\sigma(y_1)$, which are preserved by ϕ and $\sigma(\phi)$ respectively. So

$$x_1 \cdot \sigma(y_1) > 0 \iff \phi(x_1) \cdot \sigma(\phi(y_1)) > 0.$$

If $n = 2$, we observe that the ordered rings X and Y have unique extensions to the ordered field closures of X and Y, $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ respectively, and the order-isomorphism $\phi : X \to Y$ may be extended to an order-isomorphism, $\psi : \bar{X} \to \sigma(\bar{X})$. We observe that ψ^{-1} is an order-preserving bijection and, by assumption, $\bar{Y}$ has grounded order support. By Lemma 3.8 of [3], $\psi^{-1} \cup \sigma(\psi^{-1})$ is an order-preserving bijection of $\bar{Y} \cup \sigma[\bar{Y}]$ to the $\bar{X} \cup \sigma[\bar{X}]$. Consequently $\psi \cup \sigma(\psi)$ is an order-preserving bijection of $\bar{X} \cup \sigma(\bar{X})$.

Suppose x_2 and $\sigma(y_1)$ are positive. Then the following are equivalent:

$$x_1 \cdot \sigma(y_1) < x_2 \cdot \sigma(y_2)$$

$$(x_1/x_2) < \sigma(y_2/y_1)$$

$$\psi(x_1/x_2) < \sigma(\psi(y_2/y_1))$$

$$\phi(x_1)/\phi(x_2) < \sigma(\phi(y_2))/\sigma(\phi(y_1))$$

$$\phi(x_1) \cdot \sigma(\phi(y_1)) < \phi(x_2) \cdot \sigma(\phi(y_2))$$

$$\phi^*(x_1 \cdot \sigma(y_1)) < \phi^*(x_2 \cdot \sigma(y_2)).$$

Arguments for $x_2 < 0$ or $\sigma(y_1) < 0$ are similar. Therefore

$$y = x_1 \cdot \sigma(y_1) + x_2 \cdot \sigma(y_2) > 0$$

iff

$$\phi^*(y) > 0.$$

Assume that $n > 2$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i) > 0.$$

Let

$$y = \phi^*(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i)) = \sum_{\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda}.$$

By assumption Y is closed under partial sums, so there are elements $u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}, \ldots u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_k \in Y$ such that

1. $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$.

2. For $i \leq j$, every term in the power series expansion of $u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$ has power (valuation) less than a_0.

3. For $j < i \leq k$, every term of the power series expansion of $u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$ has power (valuation) at least a_0.

Every term of y expressed as a power series has valuation no less than a_0, therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i) = 0$$

and

$$y = \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i).$$

If $j < i \leq k$, then $s_i = u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$ has valuation no less than a_0 in G_{ω_1}. If s_i has valuation greater than a_0, then s_i is infinitesimal with respect to y. Let S be the set of indexes of the $u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$ with valuation a_0 and T be the set of indexes of the $u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i)$ with valuation greater than a_0. S is nonempty and every element of T is infinitesimal with respect to every element of S.

By virtue of the closure properties of the range of ϕ, there are $b_0 \in M[G] \cap G_{\omega_1}$ and $c_0 \in M[H] \cap G_{\omega_1}$ such that $x^{b_0} \in \phi[X]$, $x^{c_0} \in \sigma[\phi[X]]$ and

$$a_0 = b_0 + c_0.$$

Let $u, v \in X$ be such that

$$\phi(u) = x^{b_0}$$

and

$$\sigma(\phi(v)) = x^{c_0}.$$

As in the argument for $n = 2$, we let $\bar{X}$ be the field generated by X in F and $\psi : \bar{X} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the unique order-preserving field-monomorphism

extending ϕ. We have previously observed that ϕ^* is a ring monomorphism and $\psi \cup \sigma(\psi)$ is an order-preserving injection. So

$$\phi^*(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i)) = \phi^*(\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} u_i \cdot \sigma(v_i))$$

and

$$\psi(\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} u_i/u \cdot \sigma(v_i/v)) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{0<\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x^{(a_\lambda - a_0)}.$$

The real number α_0 is in the domain and range of ϕ^*, ϕ^* is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\phi^* \subseteq \psi$, so

$$\phi^*(\alpha_0) = \psi(\alpha_0) = \alpha_0.$$

The range of ϕ^* is closed under partial sums, so $\sum_{0<\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x_\lambda^a$ is in the range of ϕ^* and $u \cdot v$ is in the range of ψ. Thus $\sum_{0<\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda - a_0}$ is in the range of ψ. Let

$$z = \sum_{0<\lambda<\gamma} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda - a_0}.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i) > 0$$

$$\alpha_0 + z > 0$$

$$\psi(\alpha_0 + z) > 0$$

$$\alpha_0 + \psi(z) > 0$$

$$x^{a_0} \cdot (\alpha_0 + \psi(z)) > 0$$

$$\psi^*(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot \sigma(y_i)) = \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_\lambda x^{a_\lambda} > 0.$$

Therefore ϕ^* is order-preserving. We observe that the range of ϕ^* is closed under partial sums and contains all the coefficients of the power series expansions in the range of ϕ^*. Therefore ϕ^* is extendable. $\square$

Lemma 6.7 *Let*

1. $\bar{\nu} < \nu \leq \omega_1$.
2. D *be a subring of an ultrapower of* $\mathbb{R}$ *over a standard ultrafilter on* ω.
3. $\phi : D \to \mathcal{E} \in M[G_{\bar{\nu}}]$ *be an extendable* $\mathbb{R}$*-monomorphism on* D.
4. $D^* = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu},\nu}} \sigma[D]$.

Then there is a unique extendable $\mathbb{R}$*-monomorphism* ϕ^* *on the ring generated by* D^* *which, for any* $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\nu}$, *extends* $\sigma[\phi]$.

Proof: If $\nu = \bar{\nu} + 1$, then the result follows from Lemma 6.6.

If there is no limit ordinal ordinal λ, $\bar{\nu} < \lambda \leq \nu$. Then there is $n \in \omega$ such that

$$\nu = \bar{\nu} + n.$$

By Lemma 6.6, for any extendable $\phi : D \to \mathcal{E}$ and splitting function σ, the ring monomorphism on the ring generated by $D \cup \sigma[D]$ extending $\phi \cup \sigma[\phi]$ is extendable. By n iterated applications of Lemma 6.6, there is a unique extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphic extension of ϕ, $\phi^* \supset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\nu}} \sigma[\phi]$, to the ring generated by $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\nu}} \sigma[D]$.

So assume there is a limit ordinal λ, $\nu_{\bar{\alpha}} < \lambda \leq \nu_\alpha$. Let λ be the least limit ordinal greater than $\nu_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Let

$$D_\lambda = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu},\lambda}} \sigma[D].$$

Let D^*_λ be the ring generated by D_λ, We show that there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism of D^*_λ which, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\lambda}$, extends $\sigma[\phi]$.

Let F be a finitely generated subring of D^*_λ. Let $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ generate F, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu},\lambda}$ and for all $i \leq n$, $c_i \in D$ be such that

$$d_i = \sigma_i(c_i).$$

Let

$$C = \{c_i \mid i \leq n\}.$$

By condition P4 in the definition of the simplified morass, there is $n \in \omega$, and $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{\bar{\alpha}}+n,\lambda}$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{\bar{\alpha}},\nu_{\bar{\alpha}}+n}$ such that, for $i \leq n$,

$$\sigma_i = g \circ f_i.$$

For each $m < n$, let h_m be the splitting function of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}+m,\bar{\nu}+m+1}$. By Lemma 6.6, $\phi \cup h_1[\phi]$ extends to an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism. Furthermore this ring monomorphism may be extended by the splitting functions h_2 through h_m. Let ψ be the function on $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\lambda}} \sigma[D]$ resulting after the n splits. Then ψ is extendable and $f_i(c_i)$ is in the domain of ψ for all $i \leq n$. Therefore $g \circ \psi$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear order monomorphism and is the restriction of ϕ^* to a ring containing F. Thus

$$\phi^*_\lambda = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\nu}\lambda}} \sigma[\phi]$$

is a well-defined extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism of D^*_λ.

By an inductive argument on ν, invoking condition P2, and the results above at limits and Lemma 6.6 at successor ordinals, it is straightforward to show that $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\nu}} \sigma[\phi^*_\lambda]$ has a unique extension to an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism of the ring generated by $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\nu}} \sigma[D^*_\lambda]$. □

6.2 Extensions by a specified element

We adapt the results of B. Johnson (Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3) to constructions along the morass.

Because ordered subrings of real closed fields have unique extensions to real closed subfields, we will be able to restrict our attention to extending subrings by algebraically independent elements. If X is a subring of a real closed field and Z is a subset of that real closed field, we let $X[Z]$ be the subring of the real closed field generated by $X \cup Z$. We continue with conventions similar to those outlined earlier in the section. Specifically we assume:

1. M is a c.t.m. of ZFC.
2. P is the poset adding generic reals indexed by θ.
3. G is P-generic over M.
4. F is an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over a standard non-principal ultrafilter on ω in M[G].
5. X is a countable, AS, ordered subring of F.
6. Y is an ordered subring of $\mathcal{E}$ and closed under partial sums and coefficients.
7. $\phi : X \to Y$ is a level extendable $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism.

We wish to prove analogues of Johnson's theorems that extendable functions may be extended by a specified element.

Lemma 6.8 *Suppose $\phi : X \to Y$ is an extendable function, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \notin X$. Then there is an extension of ϕ to an extendable function $\psi : X[r] \to Y[r]$.*

Proof: If r is in the real closure of X in F. Then $r \in Y \cap \mathbb{R} = X \cap \mathbb{R}$. Therefore if $r \notin X$, then r is AI over X and Y. Let ϕ^* be the R-monomorphism extending ϕ to the real closure of X. Then ϕ^* is R-linear, the real closure of X and the real closure of Y contain precisely the same real numbers, and the real closure of X is full. By Lemma 3.2, there is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphic extension of ϕ^*, ψ^*, to the real closure of the field generated by $X[r]$. Let

$$\psi : X[r] \to Y[r] = \psi^* \restriction_{X[r]} .$$

Then ψ is extendable. □

Lemma 6.9 *Suppose $\phi : X \to Y$ is an extendable function, $x \in F$ has strict level α, x is transcendental over $X \cup (M[G_\beta] \cap F)$ (for all $\beta < \alpha$) and the real closure of the field generated by $X \cup \{x\}$ is full. Then there is $\bar{X} \supseteq X[x]$ and an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending ϕ, $\psi : \bar{X} \to \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof: If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we let $y = r$ and apply Lemma 6.8. So we assume that $x \notin \mathbb{R}$, and that the real closure of $X[x]$ is full.

Let X^* be the real closure of the field generated by $X[x]$ and $\phi^* : X^* \to \mathcal{E}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphic extension of ϕ. Let (L^*, U^*) be the gap formed by x in X^*, and Y^* be the real closure of the field generated by Y. The Esterle algebra is an η_1-ordering, so there is $y \in \mathcal{E}$ that witnesses the gap (L^*, U^*). By application of Johnson's Lemma 3.3, there is $y^* \in \mathcal{E}$ that witnesses the gap $(\phi^*[L^*], \phi[U^*])$ and such that the real closure of the field extending $Y^*[y^*]$ is full. Although the existence of such an element can be used to advantage, the element y^* may fail to have some of the properties we require for a morass construction.

Let μ be the strict level of x and let

$$Y^* = Y \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha<\mu} M[G(\alpha)]).$$

We seek a candidate in $\mathcal{E}$ for the image of x with strict level μ that is transcendental over Y^*. The Esterle algebra is level dense and upward level dense, so by Lemma 4.5 of [3] there is $y \in \mathcal{E}$, with the strict level μ, such that for all $z \in X$,

$$x < z \iff y < \phi(z).$$

It may happen that z fails to satisfy a condition we require of the image of x. In particular, the real closure of the ring generated by Y and y may not be closed under partial sums.

Case 1: There is a largest partial sum of y that is a member of Y.

Let s be the largest partial sum of y that is also a member of Y and $t = \phi^{-1}(s) \in X$. We shift our attention to the gap formed by $x - t$ in X. Then for all $z \in X$

$$x - t < z \iff y - s < \phi(z).$$

We note that $x - t$ must have a valuation distinct from the valuations of members of X. If not, then the leading term of $y - s$ will be a member of

Y, and s fails to be the largest partial sum of y in Y. We also note that the strict level of t and s are equal and no greater than μ. If the strict level of t is less than μ, then $x - t$ and $y - s$ have level μ. If the strict level of $x - t$ is less than μ, then there is an element of $y^* \in \mathcal{E}$ with a valuation distinct from the valuations of Y an strict level equal to the strict level of $x - t$.

Hence we need consider only the case in which x has valuation distinct from the valuations of X. Let $y \in \mathcal{E}$ witness the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$, and let $y_0 = \alpha x^a$ be the leading term of y. We note that y_0 witnesses the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$. In fact, $(\alpha/ \mid \alpha \mid)x^a$ witnesses the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$. We assume without loss of generality that $y_0 = x^a$. The strict level of y_0 equals the strict level of $a \in G_{\omega_1}$. It is straightforward to see that there is $b \in G_{\omega_1}$ such that

1. b is positive.
2. Any element of the support of b is greater than any element of the support of any exponent occuring in any power series of Y.
3. b has strict level μ.

To satisfy the second condition, we note that there is $b \in G_{\omega_1}$ with support of strict level μ. Alternatively there is b with support of lower strict level and range containing reals of strict level μ. In either event, b will have strict level μ.

Let S be the union of supports of all exponents among the elements in Y. Then S is also the union of all exponents occurring in the real closure of Y. The exponent $b \in G_{\omega_1}$ is greater than 0 but less than any positive exponent in Y. b is greater than the constant 0 function, the additive identity of G_{ω_1} (and the valuation of standard reals $\mathcal{E}$). However b is less than any positive valuation occurring in Y. Consequently x^b is greater than any infinitesimal of Y. Furthermore,

1. x^{a+b} witnesses the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$.
2. x^{a+b} is transcendental over $X \cup \bigcup_{\alpha<\mu}(\mathcal{E} \cap M[G(\alpha)])$.

3. x^{a+b} has strict level μ.

4. The ring generated by $Y \cup \{x^{a+b}\}$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is closed under partial sums and coefficients.

Let $\psi : X[x] \to Y[y]$ be the unique $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending ϕ such that

$$\psi(x) = y.$$

Then ψ is extendable.

Case 2: There is no largest partial sum of y that is a member of Y.

Let D be the countable well-ordered support of y (treated as a function on G_{ω_1}). Let D' be the smallest initial segment of D such that $y \restriction_{D'}$ is not a member of Y. Let $y' = y \restriction_{D'}$. Then y' witnesses the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$. The strict level of y' is no greater than μ, and is conceivably less than μ. If the strict level of y' equals μ, let $\psi : X[x] \to Y[y']$ be the unique $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending ϕ such that

$$\psi(x) = y'.$$

Otherwise, let $a \in G_{\omega_1}$ be an exponent of $\mathcal{E}$ that has strict level μ and is greater than all exponents occurring in Y. Let

$$y^* = y' + x^a.$$

Then y^* witnesses the gap $(\phi[L], \phi[U])$ and has strict level μ. Let $\psi^* : X[x] \to Y[y]$ be the unique $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending extending ϕ such that

$$\psi(x) = y^*.$$

Then ψ satisfies the conditions for an extendable function, except for closure under partial sums. In particular y' and x^a are not in the range of ψ^*. Let (L^*, U^*) be the gap formed by x^a in $Y[y^*]$. We observe that x^a is infinitesimal with respect to every member of $Y[y^*]$. Since F is level dense and upward level dense, there is a positive element of F, ϵ, with strict level μ that is

infinitesimal with respect to all elements of $X[x]$. Therefore there is $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism $\psi : X[x, \epsilon] \to Y[y^*, x^a]$ extending ψ^* and such that

$$\psi(\epsilon) = x^a.$$

We note that $y \in Y[y^*, x^a]$ and

$$Y[y^*, x^a] = Y[y, x^a].$$

However it is clear that $Y[y, x^a]$ is closed under coefficients and partial sums, so ψ is extendable. □

7 An $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ into the Esterle algebra.

We construct, in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals, a level $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, ϕ, from the finite elements of a morass-definable ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathcal{E}$. This construction differs significantly from the construction of Woodin [16]. The construction of Woodin relies on the fact that in the Cohen extension of a model of $ZFC + CH$ by $\aleph_2$-generic reals, any gap of $\mathcal{E}$ has a countable, cofinal subgap. As Woodin observes, this argument is not generalizable to models of ZFC with higher powers of the continuum. Our construction yields a monomorphism that is level, and therefore is sensitive to the "complexity" (with respect to the index of Cohen reals) of the elements of the ultrapower and the Esterle algebra. Consequently, for any $\alpha < \omega_2$, $\phi \cap M[G(\alpha)]$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U \cap M[G(\alpha)]$ to $\mathcal{E} \cap M[G(\alpha)]$.

Theorem 7.1 *Suppose M is a c.t.m. of ZFC+CH containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$- morass, P is the poset adding generic reals indexed by ordinals less than ω_2. Let G be P-generic over M, $F \in M[G]$ be the ring of finite elements of an ultrapower of $\mathbb{R}$ over a standard ultrafilter on ω, and $\mathcal{E} \in M[G]$ be the Esterle Algebra computed in $M[G]$. Then there is a level $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, $\phi : F \to \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof: For each ν, $\alpha < \omega_1$, let G_ν be the factor of G adding generic reals indexed by θ_ν (the ordinal associated with the vertex ν in the morass), and $G(\alpha)$ be the factor of G adding generic reals indexed by α. Let

$$F_\nu = F \cap M[G_\nu],$$

$$F(\alpha) = F \cap M[G(\alpha)]$$

and $X(\alpha)$ be a maximal set of morass generators of strict level α that are algebraically independent over $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} F(\beta)$. Let

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} X(\alpha).$$

Then X is AS and F equals the finite elements of the real closure of the field generated by the morass-closure of X.

Let $\langle x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a well-ordering of X. Let $\langle \nu_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a weakly ascending transfinite sequence of countable ordinals such that $x_\alpha \in M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$. We will construct by transfinite recursion (on ω_1) an ascending sequence of functions (ordered by inclusion), $\langle \phi_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$, such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$

1. $\phi_\alpha : D_\alpha \to E_\alpha$ is extendable.

2. If $x \in D_\alpha$, $\nu < \nu_\alpha$, the morass generator of x is $x^* \in M[G_\nu]$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu\nu_\alpha}$, then $\sigma(x^*)$ is in the real closure of the field generated by D_α.

At each stage $\alpha < \omega_1$ of the construction we will extend the domain of $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \phi_\beta$ so that its real closure includes the morass descendants in $M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$ of the morass generators of x_β (for all $\beta < \alpha$), and ϕ_α will be extendable.

Case: $\alpha = 0$.

Let $\langle x_{0,n} \mid n \in \omega \rangle \subseteq M[G_{\nu_0}]$ be a well-ordering of the morass-descendants of x_0 in $M[G_{\nu_0}]$. We construct a sequence of $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphisms, $\langle \phi_{0,n} : D_{0,n} \to \mathcal{E} \mid n \in \omega \rangle$ such that for all $n \in \omega$,

1. $\phi_{0,n} \in M[G_{\nu_0}]$.

2. $x_{0,n}$ is in the real closure of $D_{0,n}$.

3. For all $m < n$, $\phi_{0,m} \subseteq \phi_{0,n}$.

4. $\phi_{0,n}$ is extendable.

Let $z = x_{0,0}$, and μ be the strict level of z. We may assume that z is positive. If $z \in \mathbb{R}$, let $D_{0,0} = \mathbb{Q}[z]$ and $\phi_{0,0} : D_{0,0} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the identity restricted to $D_{0,0}$.

If z is infinitesimal, let $a \in G_{\omega_1}$ be positive and have strict level μ, and

$$y = x^a \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Let $D_{0,0} = \mathbb{Q}[z]$ and $\phi_{0,0} : D_{0,0} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the ring monomorphism such that

$$\phi_{0,0}(z) = y.$$

If $z = r + \epsilon$, where ϵ is infinitesimal and positive, let $a \in G_{\omega_1}$ have strict level $\mu(\epsilon)$. Then let

$$y = x^a$$

and $D_{0,0} = \mathbb{Q}[r, \epsilon]$. Let $\phi_{0,0} : D_{0,0} \to \mathcal{E}$ be the ring monomorphism extending the identity on $\mathbb{Q}[r]$ such that

$$\phi_{0,0}(z) = y.$$

If $\epsilon < 0$, let $y = -x^a$ and define $D_{0,0}$ and $\phi_{0,0}$ analogously.

Let $N \in \omega$ and assume that $\langle \phi_{0,n} \mid n \leq N \rangle$ satisfies conditions 1 - 3 above (below $N + 1$). Then $\phi_{0,N}$ is extendable and Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9 apply. Let $z = x_{0,N+1}$. If z is in the real closure of $D_{0,N}$ let

$$\phi_{0,N+1} = \phi_{0,N}.$$

If z is transcendental over $D_{0,N}$, and $z \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$D_{0,N+1} = D_{0,N}[z]$$

and

$$\phi^* = \phi_{0,N} \cup \{(z, z)\}.$$

By Lemma 6.8, there is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphic extension of ϕ^*, $\phi_{0,N+1} : D_{0,N+1} \to \mathcal{E}$. The sub-ring of $\mathcal{E}$ generated by a set closed under partial sums and coefficients is closed under partial sums and coefficients so $\phi_{0,N+1}$ is extendable.

If z is non-standard, let R_0 be the set of reals contained in the smallest full real closure of $D_{0,N} \cup \{z\}$. By Lemma 6.8 there is an extendable level $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism extending $\phi_{0,N}$, $\phi^* : D_{0,N}[R_0] \to \mathcal{E}$. Let

$$D_{0,N+1} = D_{0,N}[R_0, z].$$

Then $D_{0,N+1} \in M[G_{\nu_0}]$. By Lemma 6.9 there is an extendable extension of ϕ^*,

$$\phi_{0,N+1} : D_{0,N+1} \to \mathcal{E}.$$

Let

$$D_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_{0,n}$$

and

$$\phi_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \phi_{0,n}.$$

Then the morass descendants of x_0 (in $M[G_{\nu_0}]$) are elements of the real closure of the domain of ϕ_0 and ϕ_0 is extendable.

Case: α a successor.

Let $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} + 1$. Assume that $\langle D_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \phi_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$ have been defined so that for all $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$

1. $D_\gamma \subseteq D_\beta$
2. $\phi_\gamma \subseteq \phi_\beta$.
3. $\phi_\beta : D_\beta \to \mathcal{E}$ is extendable.

If $\nu_\alpha = \nu_{\bar{\alpha}}$, then we may argue as in the previous case. Let $\langle x_{\alpha,n} \mid n < \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of the morass descendants of x_α in $M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$. We may extend $D_{\bar{\alpha}}$ to D_α containing the morass descendants of x_α, and $\phi_{\bar{\alpha}}$ to $\phi_\alpha : D_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$ so that for all $\gamma < \beta \leq \alpha$

1. $D_\gamma \subseteq D_\beta$.

2. $\phi_\gamma \subseteq \phi_\beta$.

3. ϕ_α is extendable.

If $\nu_{\bar{\alpha}} < \nu_\alpha$, then by Lemma 6.7 there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism ϕ^* extending $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{\bar{\alpha}} \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[\phi_{\bar{\alpha}}]$ to the ring generated by $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{\bar{\alpha}} \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[D_{\bar{\alpha}}]$, D^*. Let D' be ring generated by D^* and a maximal algebraically independent set of morass descendants of x_α in $M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$. Let R_0 be the real numbers of the smallest full extension of the real closure of D'. Then the real closure of $D'[R_0]$ is full. Let

$$D_\alpha = D'[R_0].$$

By the preceding case, there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism $\phi_\alpha : D_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$ with $\phi_\alpha \supseteq \phi^*$.

Finally, assume $\alpha < \omega_1$ is a limit ordinal. If there is $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\nu_\alpha = \nu_\beta$ then we may proceed as in the case $\alpha = 0$ to define D_α and ϕ_α.

So we assume that $\nu_\beta < \nu_\alpha$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let

$$\lambda = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \nu_\beta.$$

If $\lambda = \nu_\alpha$, then let

$$D^* = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Big(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[D_\beta] \Big).$$

Let F be a finitely generated subring of D^* with generators $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$,

$$C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$$

and for, $i \leq n$, morass functions $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}$ such that

$$\sigma_i(c_i) = d_i.$$

By condition P4 of Definition 2.1, there is $\nu_\beta \leq \gamma < \lambda$, $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \gamma}$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\gamma\lambda}$ such that for all $i \leq n$,

$$\sigma_i(c_i) = g \circ f_i(c_i) = d_i.$$

By Lemma 6.7 there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism ϕ^* on the ring generated by $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \lambda}} \sigma[\phi_\beta]$. It follows that there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism on the ring generated by $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[D_\beta])$ extending $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[\phi_\beta])$. We may then proceed as in earlier cases to define an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism $\phi_\alpha : D_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$, with D_α containing the morass descendants of x_α in $M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$.

Finally, assume that

$$\lim_{\beta<\alpha} \nu_\beta = \lambda < \nu_\alpha.$$

By the previous argument, there is extendable $\phi^* : \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \lambda}} \sigma[D_\beta]) \to \mathcal{E}$ extending the morass images in $M[G_\lambda]$ of the ϕ_β. By Lemma 6.7 there is an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism $\phi'_\alpha : D'_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$D'_\alpha \supseteq \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} (\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[D_\beta])$$

and

$$\phi'_\alpha \supseteq \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} (\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\beta \nu_\alpha}} \sigma[\phi_\beta]).$$

We proceed as in earlier cases to extend ϕ'_α to an extendable $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, $\phi_\alpha : D_\alpha \to \mathcal{E}$, where D_α extends D' and contains the morass descendants of x_α in $M[G_{\nu_\alpha}]$.

Let D^* be the ring in $M[G]$ generated by $\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\alpha \omega_1}} \sigma[D_\alpha])$. Then by earlier argument there is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, $\phi^* : D^* \to \mathcal{E}$, such that

$$\phi^* \supseteq \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} (\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\alpha \omega_1}} \sigma[\phi_\alpha]).$$

Then ϕ^* is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism on a domain that contains a transcendental basis for F, and extends uniquely to an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, $\phi : F \to \mathcal{E}$. □

Theorem 7.2 *Suppose M is a c.t.m. of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass and $M[G]$ is the Cohen extensions adding $\aleph_2$ generic reals. Then if X is an infinite compact Hausdorff space in $M[G]$, there is a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ in $M[G]$.*

Proof: By Corollary 6.9 of [3], any non-principal ultrafilter on ω in M may be extended to a standard ultrafilter in the Cohen extension adding $\aleph_2$-generic reals. If U is a standard ultrafilter, then there is a level $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ into $\mathcal{E}$. Hence the finite elements of $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ bear a no-trivial submultiplicative norm. The theorem follows from results of B. Johnson [11]. □

8 Discontinuous homomorphisms of $C(X)$ in a Cohen extension adding $\aleph_3$-generic reals.

The existence of a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ when the continuum has power $\aleph_2$ has been known for a long while (Woodin [16]). Unfortunately the methods of the existing proof do not extend to higher powers of the continuum. It has been suggested that the consistency of a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$ with higher powers of the continuum might be proved with higher-gap morasses. Theorem 7.1 is a modest strengthening of Woodin's result, as the constructed $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism is itself an extendable function.

We employ the results and techniques of [4] to the construction of Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 8.1 *Let X be an infinite compact Haussdorf space. It is consistent that $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_3$ and that there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous real-valued functions on X.*

Proof: Let M be a model of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified $(\omega_1, 2)$-morass, $\langle \overrightarrow{\varphi}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}}, \overrightarrow{\theta}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \rangle$. Let P be the poset adding $\aleph_3$ generic reals, and G be P generic over M. Then $\langle \overrightarrow{\varphi}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \rangle$ is a simplified $(\omega_2, 1)$-morass, and below ω_1, $\langle \overrightarrow{\varphi}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \rangle$ satisfies the axioms of a simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass. Hence the construction of Theorem 7.1 below ω_1 can be completed in M. In particular, if $U_0 \in M$ is a non-principal ultrafilter in M, then by Corollary 5.5 of [4], there is $\overline{U} \subseteq M^{P(\omega_1)}$, a standard term for an ultrafilter that is morass commutative below ω_1, that is forced to extend U_0. Furthermore, the morass-continuation of $\overline{U}$, U, is a standard ultrafilter that is gap-2 morass-commutative and morass-commutative. By Theorem 5.6 of [4], $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ is a gap-2 morass-definable η_1-ordering.

Let $\overline{G}$ be the factor of G adding generic reals indexed by ω_1, $\overline{\mathbb{R}^\omega} = \mathbb{R}^\omega \cap M[\overline{G}]$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E} \cap M[\overline{G}]$. Let $\overline{F}$ be the finite elements of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^\omega}/\overline{U}$. Because $\langle \overrightarrow{\varphi}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \rangle$ satisfies the axioms of a simplified gap-1 morass beneath ω_1, we may complete the construction of Theorem 7.1 below ω_1. There is a level, $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism, $\overline{\phi} : \overline{F} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Let ϕ be the morass-continuation of $\overline{\phi}$. We observe that the proof of Theorem 4.8 of [4] suffices to guarantee that ϕ is order-preserving. It is routine to show that ϕ is an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism. $\square$

9 Pressing the continuum

The techniques of this paper, [3] and [4] depend on the construction of functions between sets of terms in the forcing language of Cohen extensions, utilizing commutativity with order-preserving injections between indexing ordinals on the index ordinals of the Cohen poset. Having presented the details of the construction of level term functions using simplified gap-1 and gap-2 morasses, it is relatively straightforward to see how these constructions

extend to simplified higher (finite) gap morasses.

A simplified $(\kappa, n+1)$-morass, for κ a regular cardinal and integer n, is a family of embeddings between simplified (κ, n)-morass segments that satisfies properties analogous to those relating simplified $(\omega_1, 2)$-morasses to embeddings of simplified $(\omega_1, 1)$-morass segments. Central to the utility of these constructions is that the embeddings are reducible to order-preserving injections between ordinals. For a thorough treatment of simplified finite gap morasses, including an inductive definition, see Szalkai [13].

Higher gap morasses will allow us to extend the results of this paper and [4] to Cohen extensions adding more than $\aleph_3$ generic reals. The definition of gap-2 morass-definable η_1-orderings and η_1-ordered real-closed fields (resp.) are easily generalized to gap-n morass-definable η_1-orderings and η_1-ordered real-closed fields (resp.). We state the following without detailed proof.

Corollary 9.1 *Let M be a model of $ZFC + CH$ containing a simplified (ω_1, n)-morass, P be the poset adding $\aleph_n$ generic reals and G be P-generic over M. Then in $M[G]$*

1. *Gap-n morass-definable η_1-orderings without endpoints are order-isomorphic.*
2. *There exists a gap-n morass-definable, non-principal ultrafilter over ω.*
3. *If U is a gap-n morass-definable non-principal ultrafilter over ω, then $\mathbb{R}^\omega/U$ is a gap-n morass-definable η_1-ordered real-closed field.*
4. *The Esterle Algebra is gap-n morass definable.*
5. *There exists an $\mathbb{R}$-isomorphism between gap-n morass-definable η_1-ordered real-closed fields.*
6. *There exists an $\mathbb{R}$-monomorphism from the finite elements of a standard ultraproduct of $\mathbb{R}$ (over ω) into the Esterle algebra.*

7. *If X is an infinite compact Hausdorff space, then there exists a discontinuous homomorphism of $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous real-valued functions on X.*

The detailed proof of the above statements is by induction on the gap-size, directly employing the techniques and theorems of this paper and [4].

References

[1] H.G. Dales, "A discontinuous Homomorphism of C(X)", Amer. J. Math. Vol. 101, No. 3 (Jun., 1979), pp. 647-734

[2] H.G. Dales, "Automatic Continuity: A Survey", Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 10 (1978), 129 - 183.

[3] B. A. Dumas, "Order-isomorphic η_1-orderings in Cohen extensions", Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 158 (2009) 1 - 22.

[4] B. A. Dumas, "Morass-definable η_1-orderings in Cohen extensions", arXive 1701.02031 (2017).

[5] P. Erdös, L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, "An isomorphism theorem for real closed fields", Ann. of Math. 61 (1955), 552 - 554

[6] J. Esterle, "Semi-normes on $C(K)$", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 36 (1978), 27 - 45.

[7] J. Esterle, "Sur l'existence d'un homomorphisme discontinu do C(K)", Acta Math. Acad. Sci Hungar., 30 (1977), 113 - 127.

[8] J. Esterle, "Injections de semi-groupes divisible dans des algébras de convolution et construction d'homomorphismes discontinus des C(K)", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 36 (1978), 59 - 85.

[9] H. Hahn, "$\ddot{U}$ber die nichtarchimedishen Gr$\ddot{o}$ssensysteme", S.B. Akad. Wiss. Wien II(a) 116 (1907) 37 - 58.

[10] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag 2002.

[11] B. E. Johnson, "Norming $C(\omega)$ and related algebras", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 220 (1976), 37 - 58.

[12] S. Maclane, "The universality of power series fields", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1939) 888 - 890.

[13] I. Szalkai, "An Inductive Definition of Higher Gap Simplified Morasses", Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 58/4 (2001), 605-634.

[14] Velleman) D. Velleman, "Simplified Morasses", Journ. of Sym. Log., 49 (1984), 257 - 271.

[15] D. Velleman, "Simplified Gap-2 Morasses", Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 34 (1987), 171 - 208.

[16] H. Woodin, "A Discontinuous Homomorphism from C(X) without CH" J. London Math. Soc. (1993) s2-48 (2): 299-315